

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,040	06/23/2004	Hans-Michael Eggenweiler	MERCK-2893	5203
23599 7590 10/17/2007 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			EXAMINER	
			MOORE, SUSANNA	
	SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/500,040	EGGENWEILER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Susanna Moore	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated the control of t	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
· _ ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 August 2007</u> .					
· -	,					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 9,13,14 and 22-30 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 9,13,14 and 22-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	,				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any objection to the	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the I	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 8/2/2007, with respect to Office Action mailed 5/2/2007 have been fully considered. Some of the rejections have been withdrawn, others have been maintained or are new rejections. Thus, the office action is a second Nonfinal Office Action. In summary, claims 9, 13, 14, 22-30 are pending from the original submission and claims 1-8, 10-12, 15-21 and 31-33 are cancelled.

Claim Objections

Claim 25 is objected to because of the following informalities: please replace the word "an" with "a" in front of "viral" on page 7 of the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 30 is objected to because of the following informalities: please correct the spelling of the word "benzoxaolamine" in claim 30, section b. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim is drawn to a method for the preparation of a medicament and a statement of intended use is not given material weight. Note *In re Tuominen*, 213 USPQ 89.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,040

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9, 13, 14, and 22-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The word "derivatives" is vague in claim 9. A derivative is a substance or compound obtained from, or regarded as derived from, another substance or compound. What are these "derivatives?" Are the "derivatives" covered by the scope of the genus of formula (I)?

Regarding claims 24, 25 and 30, the phrase "including" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. What autoimmune diseases are not included in claim 24? Or Herpes viruses are not included in claim 25? The term is also used in claim 30, section f and g. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

The recitation in dependent claims 24-29 of "wherein the disease or disorder is..." to be used in a method from which said claim depends, wherein "wherein the disease or disorder is..."

Application/Control Number: 10/500,040

Applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1624

does not result in a patentably distinguishable methodological and manipulative difference in how the identification of said agent impacts the method from which it depends, renders the claim(s) in which it occurs and which depend therefrom indefinite for failing to distinctly articulate how such a recitation further limits the method from which said dependent claims(s)

The term "PDE IV or VII inhibitors," "tryptase inhibitors" and "MAP kinase inhibitors" among others are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprized of the scope of the invention. The nature of the instant invention where the method of use claims consist of the compounds according to claim 9 and an additional active ingredient, i.e. agents for promoting growth hormone secretion, which is a therapeutic agent.

Claims 9, 13, 14 and 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 1 12, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is the Wands factors, which are used to evaluate the enablement question. *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988); *Ex parte Forman*, 230 USPQ 546. The factors include: 1) The nature of the invention, 2) the state of the prior art, 3) the predictability or lack thereof in the art, 4) the amount of direction or guidance present, 5) the

Application/Control Number: 10/500,040

Art Unit: 1624

presence or absence of working examples, 6) the breadth of the claims, and 7) the quantity of experimentation needed.

The nature of the invention in the instant case, has claims which embrace pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine compounds. The scope of "prodrug" is not adequately enabled. Applicants provide no guidance as how the compounds are made more active in vivo. The choice of a "prodrug" will vary from drug to drug. Therefore, more than minimal routine experimentation would be required to determine which prodrugs will be suitable for the instant invention.

The instant compounds of formula (I) wherein the prodrugs are not described in the disclosure in such a way the one of ordinary skill in the art would no how to prepare the various compounds suggested by claim 1. In view of the lack of direction provided in the specification regarding starting materials, the lack of working examples, and the general unpredictability of chemical reactions, it would take an undue amount of experimentation for one skilled in the art to make the claimed compounds and therefore practice the invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna Moore whose telephone number is (571) 272-9046. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SM 10/1/2007

Brenda Coleman
Primary examiner
Art Unit 1624
Technology Center 1600